

## Session 2.1

### International Collaboration: Providing Seamless, Cross-border Aviation Weather Products to Facilitate Traffic Management

**Luc Mercier**, Meteorological Service of Canada (MSC), St.Laurent, QC, Canada; and **D. Chretien**, J. Lancaster, and **D. Rodenhuis**

#### Abstract

Weather, like aeroplanes, does not stop at the border. In fact, intense convective weather is often the cause of rerouting planes that would normally fly west to east across the United States, being re-routed across the Canada/US border, up over Canadian airspace. To mitigate the impact of intense convection on air traffic, and ensure an effective and efficient use of airspace, NAV CANADA and the Meteorological Service of Canada (MSC) have joined the FAA, US National Weather Service, MIT Lincoln Lab, and commercial aviation to produce seamless, cross-border, aviation weather products. Two initiatives, the Collaborative Convective Forecast Product (CCFP) and the Corridor Integrated Weather System (CIWS), will be discussed in terms of international collaboration. Examples demonstrate the contribution of Canadian forecasts and weather information for effective/efficient use of airspace. Future plans for the CCFP over Canada will also be addressed.

## 1. Introduction

In November 1996, Canada made aviation history when it became the first country in the world to entrust its air navigation service to a private company. The creation of NAV CANADA was an act of political will on the part of the Company's four key stakeholder members: airlines, owners and operators of aircraft, the Government of Canada, and employees. Since its creation on November 1<sup>st</sup> 1996, the Company has made customer service a major area of focus. As a result, delays related to air traffic services have been significantly reduced. This is partly due to improved collaboration with its partners and stakeholders and the targeted application of technology.

The Corporation's priorities in terms of weather are to establish an efficient and effective ANS without compromising on the safety of the users. The Meteorological Service of Canada (MSC) produces the aviation weather forecasts, among other services, under contract for NAV CANADA. The collaboration between the MSC and NAV CANADA goes beyond the privatisation of the ANS in 1996 and both organisations are working together to develop new and innovative products.


## 2. History

In many areas, Canada became a leader in service innovation for aviation. For example,

NAV CANADA is a pioneer in the application of text-based messaging over the North Atlantic, the busiest oceanic airspace in the world with some 1,000 flights per day. Another innovation is the Graphic Area Forecast or GFA (Chretien, 2000). Jointly developed by NAV CANADA and the MSC, the GFA replaced the antiquated alphanumeric FA product by an equivalent graphical display of the weather over Canadian domestic airspace.


The business viewpoint of NAV CANADA requires improvement in air traffic management over its airspace in busy summer weather convection days. Prior to 2002, the MSC's Ontario Weather Centre in Toronto monitored the Collaborative Convective Forecast Product (CCFP) weather-chat session when active weather was located in the busy Southern Ontario airspace. This MSC 'participation' in CCFP was viewed as a prototype for a similar convective forecast product for Canadian aviation users during the past few years.

Subsequently, in May 2002 NAV CANADA approached the U.S. National Weather Service (NWS) to propose extending the CCFP over (part of) Canada. The NWS responded



**Figure 1 FIR Regions in Northeast USA and Southern Canada**

favourably to NAV CANADA's request for an extension of the CCFP over the area of interest to the major carriers (Southern Ontario and Southern Quebec) on the condition that MSC would also participate in the co-production of the product. The expansion of the CCFP into Canadian airspace was welcomed in the United States as a professional contribution that would improve air traffic management in the already congested corridor of the north eastern CONUS. Prior to 2003, the CCFP remaining blank north of the US border, air traffic was being routed into Canadian airspace, not knowing if active convection was also occurring in Canada, which sometime was the case, causing more grief than good to users and to the efficiency of the NAS.



**Figure 2 CCFP Process**

In 2003, southern Canadian Airspace was introduced in CCFP. Extending the CCFP over the area south of latitude 49° North (over Ontario and Quebec) allows air traffic managers in both countries to move traffic more efficiently into and through Canadian airspace when severe weather affects that area.


In conjunction with the CCFP, the Corridor Integrated Weather System (CIWS) platform takes advantage of the high density of existing FAA and US National Weather System weather sensors (radar and lightning). This system provides en route traffic flow managers with accurate, automated, high update rate information on storm locations and echo tops, along with 2-hour animated growth and decay forecasts of storms. It is a tactical tool designed for use in the 0-2 hour planning period wherein dynamic adjustments are made to the strategic plans developed with the CCFP.

In the United States, CIWS allows traffic managers to achieve more efficient tactical use

of the airspace, reduce controller workload, and significantly reduce delay. The CIWS “tactical”

traffic flow management products now complement the longer-term “strategic” (2-6 hour) national CCFP forecasts that are also needed for flight planning and traffic flow management.

**Figure 3 – CAN Routes within CIWS Coverage**



Prior to 2004, CIWS coverage supported tactical decisions over the north eastern United States, specifically the Golden Triangle corridor, and marginally over a portion of Toronto's airspace.

A trial of the Internet version of CIWS by NAV CANADA's Toronto Terminal Management Unit (TMU) and National Operation Centre (NOC) staff during the summer of 2003 indicated that this tool had the potential to facilitate short-term tactical decisions in the Toronto TMU environment as they relate to severe convective weather (thunderstorms). For example, CIWS shows areas of growth and decay. This information can be used to anticipate when routes will open or close within the TMU environment because of thunderstorms. This benefit would not be fully realized without ingest of data from six Canadian weather radars into the CIWS platform.

Enhancement of CIWS coverage via ingest of Canadian weather radar data greatly enhanced the benefit to NAV CANADA by improving coordination with the FAA (see figure 3). In June 2004, MIT Lincoln Lab integrated the Canadian radar data covering Southern Ontario and Southern Quebec into CIWS.

### 3. MSC Preparation of the CCFP

The production of the CCFP is quite different than any other product. Firstly, the frequency of

the product (every 2 hours) makes for very challenging days when weather is not cooperative. Even though forecasters need to focus on organized convection only, we all know that to forecast such areas at the right time and at the right place, is one of the most difficult tasks to achieve.

In the very first stages of CCFP production, focus is placed on analysis and diagnosis to assess what the triggers are. Once this is done, the forecaster needs to decide if that trigger will materialize to set-off the convection. When he or she believes so, that's when we roll up our sleeves and prepare for fun.

This may look pretty simple from the outside, but we have to keep in mind that we try to maximize our accuracy in both time and space, while trying to provide as much lead time and the highest level of confidence as possible.

Users agree that, in general, the 13Z issue of the CCFP is the most critical one of the day, as it is used to plan several transcontinental flights (west to east) that are schedule to arrive around the peak time for convection. This means that we try to anticipate convective weather with older Numerical Weather Prediction (NWP) guidance and upper air soundings.

Throughout the day, forecasters must stay on top of the convective development by looking for any sign of convection. Particular attention must be paid to problematic areas and associated triggers. As new NWP and upper air soundings come in, forecasters reassess the situation and make modifications as they see fit.

Chronologically, production of the 13Z issue of the CCFP would be as follows (timelines are the same for every issue):

From:

1100Z to 1150Z: The 3 CCFP panels are produced and transmitted to the Aviation Weather Centre (AWC) Kansas City.

1150Z to 1210Z: Coordination takes place between the AWC and MSC forecasters.

1215Z to 1245Z: The CCFP chat session takes place.

1245Z to 1255Z: Modifications (if necessary) are made to the CCFP and the forecast is transmitted.

As you might expect, production tools and data used to produce the CCFP differ from one office to another. This is especially true for the CCFP, where the two offices reside in different countries and have different information available to them. While this makes for a challenging situation when it comes time to fine tuning the product and it emphasizes the requirement for good coordination.


Currently, coordination between both offices is working well. Preliminary discussions have take place to enhance existing tools or to develop new tools that would make the coordination process more efficient and therefore, leave a few more precious minutes available to the forecasters.

#### **4. Next Steps**

The current CCFP coverage over southern Ontario and Quebec supports strategic initiatives in co-ordination with the FAA, especially concerning the use of the Canadian off-load routes over Ontario and Quebec. Continuous growth in air traffic is demanding more efficient air traffic management across Canada and a seamless transition across national boundaries, i.e. the Canada/US airspace boundary.

The NAV CANADA National Operations Centre is responsible for the coordination of Canadian air-traffic management initiatives and decisions, cross-border initiatives with the United States, and for the coordination of international initiatives, such as the collaborative North Atlantic track selection process.

To ensure an efficient traffic flow, better products will need to be developed or existing ones expanded. Study is underway at NAV CANADA to extend the CCFP from coast to coast up to 55 latitude north. This extension in coverage will enable a better management of the east-west air traffic over southern Canada and the traffic flow in and out the transatlantic routes. Such expansion is planned for 2006.



**Figure 4 – Proposed CCFP Expansion**

NAV CANADA and the MSC will also be addressing the issue of verification of the CCFP over Canadian airspace using the same verification methodology that is currently being used to verify the US portion of the forecast.

## 5. Conclusions

The CCFP is a unique product in terms of forecast content and production methodology. A seamless merging of forecasts from two countries through the use of technology and collaboration, provides traffic-managers and the

air carriers with the information that they need to support their decision making processes.

## References

Robinson, M., J. Evans, B. Crowe, D. Klinge-Wilson, and S. Allan, 2004: "Corridor Integrated Weather System operational benefits 2002-2003: Initial estimates of convective weather delay reduction," Project Report ATC-313, MIT Lincoln.

11th Conference on Aviation, Range, and Aerospace Meteorology, 4–8 October 2004, Hyannis, Massachusetts